

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| PPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/065,016 | 09/10/2002 | Olivier Boireau | 71522-2 | 5731 | |
| 20915 | 7590 05/02/2003 | | | | |
| MCGARRY BAIR PC 171 MONROE AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 600 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | CRUZ, LOURDES C | | |
| GRAND RA | IDS, MI 49503 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2827 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/02/2003 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No | | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/065,016 | - | BOIREAU, OLIVIER | | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Lourdes C. Cruz | • | 2827 | | | |
| To | he MAILING DATE of this communication a eply | | | | | | |
| THE MAI - Extension after SIX (- If the peric - If NO peric - Failure to - Any reply | TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 16) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In different properties of the thirty (30) days, a reply specified above, the maximum statutory perior perior perior perior perior perior perior the maximum statutory perior perior within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eceived by the Office later than three months after the mail ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | I. 1.136(a). In no event, how eply within the statutory mil d will apply and will expire tte. cause the application t | ever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from E | rely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. & 133) | | | |
| 1)⊠ R€ | esponsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{o9}$ | 9 April 2003 . | | | | | |
| 2a)□ T t | is action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T | This action is non-f | ìnal. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| - 4)⊠ Cla | im(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application | on. | | | | | |
| | Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr | | ation. | | | | |
| | im(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| | im(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) <u></u> Cla | im(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8)⊠ Cla | im(s) <u>1-13</u> are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirem | ient. | | | | |
| Application I | Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| | plicant may not request that any objection to t | | | • • | | | |
| | proposed drawing correction filed on | | | ved by the Examiner. | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| | r 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | | | |
| _ | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | - - | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of D | eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _ | 4) | | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152) | | | |



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

DATE MAILED:

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENT P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. EXAMINER | |
|---------------------------------|-------------|---|-------------------------------|-------|
| | | | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER |
| | | | | 7 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

Please find attached Supplemental Non-Final Office action in response to the pre- amendment filed.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/065,016

Art Unit: 2827

SUPPLEMENTAL DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-4, 10, and 12, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass 678.
- II. Claims 5-9, 11 and 13, drawn to a PCB, classified in class 174, subclass 260.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as a chip, and invention II has separate utility such as a circuit board. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lourdes C. Cruz whose telephone number is 703-306-5691. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10-6:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/065,016

Art Unit: 2827

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Talbott can be reached on 703-305-9883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Ellely

Lourdes Cruz April 30, 2003 Lourdes C. Cruz Examiner Art Unit 2827

KAMAND CUNEO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800